

Instructor Name: Elliott,Jonathan Tyler	Term: Spring 2021	Evaluation Type: Final	Class Description: ECON-UA 18-001 (7830) - Statistics (P) (Lecture)
---	-----------------------------	----------------------------------	--

33 out of 88 students completed the survey.

Survey Response Rate: 37.5%

Course Questions

Score range is 1 - 5

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer %	
Overall evaluation of the course.	3.6	33	100.0%	5 - Excellent	6	18.2%
				4 - Good	17	51.5%
				3 - Adequate	5	15.2%
				2 - Poor	2	6.1%
				1 - Very Poor	3	9.1%
The course objectives were clearly stated.	3.8	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	6	18.2%
				4 - Agree	19	57.6%
				3 - Neutral	4	12.1%
				2 - Disagree	3	9.1%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	1	3.0%
The course was well organized.	3.7	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	6	18.2%
				4 - Agree	18	54.5%
				3 - Neutral	3	9.1%
				2 - Disagree	4	12.1%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	2	6.1%
The course was intellectually stimulating.	3.8	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	6	18.2%
				4 - Agree	17	51.5%
				3 - Neutral	7	21.2%
				2 - Disagree	2	6.1%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	1	3.0%
The course was effective at helping me learn.	3.5	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	7	21.2%
				4 - Agree	13	39.4%
				3 - Neutral	5	15.2%
				2 - Disagree	4	12.1%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	4	12.1%
The classes were informative.	3.8	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	8	24.2%
				4 - Agree	15	45.5%
				3 - Neutral	6	18.2%

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer	Answer %
				2 - Disagree	4	12.1%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The course was challenging.	4.2	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	15	45.5%
				4 - Agree	13	39.4%
				3 - Neutral	3	9.1%
				2 - Disagree	1	3.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	1	3.0%
The course increased my knowledge of the subject.	3.8	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	9	27.3%
				4 - Agree	13	39.4%
				3 - Neutral	7	21.2%
				2 - Disagree	3	9.1%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	1	3.0%

Instructor Questions

Score range is 1 - 5

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer	Answer %
Overall evaluation of the instructor.	4.5	33	100.0%	5 - Excellent	17	51.5%
				4 - Good	14	42.4%
				3 - Adequate	2	6.1%
				2 - Poor	0	0.0%
				1 - Very Poor	0	0.0%
The instructor provided an environment that was conducive to learning.	4.3	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	15	45.5%
				4 - Agree	13	39.4%
				3 - Neutral	5	15.2%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor provided helpful feedback on assessed class components (e.g., exams, papers).	4.2	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	14	42.4%
				4 - Agree	12	36.4%
				3 - Neutral	7	21.2%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor was effective at helping me learn.	4.3	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	16	48.5%
				4 - Agree	12	36.4%
				3 - Neutral	5	15.2%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation.	4.2	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	15	45.5%

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer	Answer %
				4 - Agree	11	33.3%
				3 - Neutral	7	21.2%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor was effective at facilitating class discussion.	4.2	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	15	45.5%
				4 - Agree	11	33.3%
				3 - Neutral	7	21.2%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor was open to students? questions and multiple points of view.	4.4	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	18	54.5%
				4 - Agree	10	30.3%
				3 - Neutral	5	15.2%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor was accessible to students (e.g., via e-mail and office hours).	4.4	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	18	54.5%
				4 - Agree	10	30.3%
				3 - Neutral	5	15.2%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The instructor created an environment that promoted the success of students with diverse backgrounds and experiences.	4.2	33	100.0%	5 - Strongly Agree	14	42.4%
				4 - Agree	12	36.4%
				3 - Neutral	7	21.2%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%

Lab Questions

Score range is 1 - 5

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer		
				Answer	Answer	Answer %
Overall, the lab instructor was effective at helping me learn.	4.5	20	60.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	11	55.0%
				4 - Agree	7	35.0%
				3 - Neutral	2	10.0%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0	0.0%
The lab was useful for achieving the goals of the course.	4.5	20	60.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	11	55.0%
				4 - Agree	7	35.0%
				3 - Neutral	2	10.0%
				2 - Disagree	0	0.0%

Question	Average	# of Students Who Answered the Question	Question Response Rate	# of Responses to the Answer	
				Answer	Answer %
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0.0%
The lab instructor provided helpful feedback on assignments.	4.3	20	60.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	45.0%
				4 - Agree	35.0%
				3 - Neutral	20.0%
				2 - Disagree	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0.0%
The lab instructor was accessible to students (e.g., via e-mail and office hours).	4.5	20	60.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	55.0%
				4 - Agree	35.0%
				3 - Neutral	10.0%
				2 - Disagree	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0.0%
The lab instructor created an environment that promoted the success of students with diverse backgrounds and experiences.	4.4	20	60.6%	5 - Strongly Agree	50.0%
				4 - Agree	35.0%
				3 - Neutral	15.0%
				2 - Disagree	0.0%
				1 - Strongly Disagree	0.0%

Instructor Comments

Describe the one best thing about the course or the instructor that was effective in helping you learn. If you could suggest one thing to improve the course, what would it be?

- Really helpful during class and responsive to emails
- Professor Elliot was not my recitation teacher so I never actually went to his recitation sessions.
- Office Hour
- N/A, I did not have him as an RA
- Jonathan is really nice and relies on emails quickly. He is always affable, patient, and approachable. He read through every line to help me debug my codes. His recitations are always helpful, covering all the problems we'll be solving. His work is much appreciated.
- He wasn't my TA. Facundo was.
- Very thorough explanations, no need for improvement.
- N/A
- I strongly agree that Jonathan is accessible and patient to students. He is a nice guy!
- Very very helpful during recitation and office hours.
- Jonathan is definitely a great instructor. He not only provided us with training on R programming but also took time to explain the concept of different probability distributions lectured by Professor Roeper even more explicitly. His recitations surely helped me learn Statistics.
- 1) he shared his screen and solved problems which helped
- none
- The instructor's explanation was clear and easily understandable.

Describe the one best thing about the lab section or the instructor that was effective in helping you learn. If you could suggest one thing to improve the section, what would it be?

- The R walkthroughs were all very helpful
- He gave out very explicit instructions on how to run R codes; I strongly recommend his lab sessions.
- none

Describe the one best thing about the lab section or the instructor that was effective in helping you learn. If you could suggest one thing to improve the section, what would it be?

- Very well-structured, no need for improvement.
- N/A
- He is effective in helping me learn R. Strongly recommend!
- 1) he shared his screen and solved problems which helped